

RIVISTA QUADRIMESTRALE
DI
DIRITTO DELL'AMBIENTE

PEER REVIEW PROCESS



G. Giappichelli editore

- Every issue of the Journal comprises two leading and regular Sections:
 - “SAGGI”, fully blind peer reviewed (the assessment is carried out by two referees), reserved for full research articles;
 - “NOTE E COMMENTI”, fully blind peer reviewed (the assessment is carried out by a single referee), reserved for short articles or case notes.
- Other eventual Sections, where appropriate, shall be: “OPINIONI E SEGNALAZIONI” (not necessarily peer-reviewed but subjected to a prior assessment by the Board of Editors), which will host other papers such as opinions, reports, reviews, news, surveys and various materials, including also papers aimed at informing on developments in the legislation, case law or legal scholarship of foreign countries for the purpose of legal comparison; “PAPERS” (not necessarily peer-reviewed but subjected to a prior assessment by the Board of Editors), reserved for conferences papers presented at scientific congresses, workshops or seminars.
- All the papers to be published in the regular Sections “SAGGI” and “NOTE E COMMENTI” shall be submitted to a fully blind peer review process, by which the authors of the papers are unknown to the referees and the referees of the papers are unknown to the authors (*double-blind peer review*).
- The Board of Editors selects two italian or foreign referees (as for the Section “SAGGI”) or a single referee (as for the Section “NOTE E COMMENTI”) from external experts (forming part of the Panel of External Referees), according to the fields of specialization of the Journal, or from the members of International Advisory Board (provided that, in each year, the peer review process is not exclusively entrusted to the members of the International Advisory Board), in such a way as to ensure anonymity, transparency, full autonomy and the absence of conflicts of interest in every case.
- When referees (as for the Section “SAGGI”) express very different opinions about a paper, the Editor-in-Chief and the Board of Editors make the final decision.
- The peer review process aims to provide authors with competent opinions on their papers and can offer authors suggestions on how to improve their papers where appropriate. The Journal aims to provide authors with a decision – 1) accept as is; 2) major or minor revisions; 3) reject – within 4 weeks.