

RIVISTA QUADRIMESTRALE  
DI  
DIRITTO DELL'AMBIENTE

PEER REVIEW PROCESS

EDITORIALE  
SCIENTIFICA



- Every regular issue of the Journal comprises two leading and regular Sections:
  - “SAGGI”, fully blind peer reviewed (the assessment is carried out by two referees), reserved for full research articles;
  - “NOTE E COMMENTI”, fully blind peer reviewed (the assessment is carried out by a single referee), reserved for short articles or case notes.
- All the papers to be published in the Sections “SAGGI” and “NOTE E COMMENTI” shall be submitted to a fully blind peer review process, by which the authors of the papers are unknown to the referees and the referees of the papers are unknown to the authors (*double-blind peer review*).
- Other eventual Sections within the regular issues, where appropriate, shall be: “OPINIONI E SEGNALAZIONI” (not necessarily peer-reviewed but subjected to a prior assessment by the Editor-in-Chief and/or the coordinator/s or editor/s of each issue), which will host other papers such as opinions, reports, reviews, news, surveys and various materials, including also papers aimed at informing on developments in the legislation, case law or legal scholarship of foreign countries for the purpose of legal comparison; “PAPERS” (not necessarily peer-reviewed but subjected to a prior assessment by the Editor-in-Chief and/or the coordinator/s or editor/s of each issue), reserved for conferences papers presented at scientific congresses, workshops or seminars. Each issue of the Journal, as well as one or more theme sections in each issue, can be introduced by a presentation article (not necessarily peer-reviewed) written by the coordinator/s or editor/s of the same issue.
- If a regular issue, or a single section thereof, is monographic rather than miscellaneous, the double-blind peer review may be carried out (as an alternative to the separate evaluation of each individual article) by submitting all the articles, as a whole, to the evaluation of at least four anonymous referees.
- Special issues do not need to be divided into sections; the articles in the special issues will be subjected to the double-blind peer review in two alternative ways: separately, by submitting each of them to a single referee; or alternatively as a whole, by submitting all of them to the evaluation of at least four anonymous referees.
- The Editor-in-Chief and/or the coordinator/s or editor/s of each issue select Italian or foreign referees from external experts (forming part of the Panel of External Referees), according to the fields of specialization of the Journal, or from the members of International Advisory Board (provided that, in each year, the peer review process is not exclusively or mainly entrusted to the members of the International Advisory Board), in such a way as to ensure anonymity, transparency, full autonomy and the absence of conflicts of interest in every case.

- When referees express very different opinions about a paper, the Editor-in-Chief makes the final decision to publish.
- The peer review process aims to provide authors with competent opinions on their papers and can offer authors suggestions on how to improve their papers where appropriate. The Journal aims to provide authors with a decision – 1) accept as is; 2) major or minor revisions; 3) reject – within 6 weeks.